Baryon and Lepton Number Non-conservation

Baryon asymmetry of the Universe

- There is matter and no antimatter in the present Universe.

- Baryon-to-photon ratio, almost constant in time:

$$\eta_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{n_\gamma} = 6 \cdot 10^{-10}$$

What's the problem?

Early Universe ($T > 10^{12}$ K $= 100$ MeV):
creation and annihilation of quark-antiquark pairs $\Rightarrow$

$$n_q, n_{\bar{q}} \approx n_\gamma$$

Hence

$$\frac{n_q - n_{\bar{q}}}{n_q + n_{\bar{q}}} \sim 10^{-9}$$

How was this excess generated in the course of the cosmological evolution?

Sakharov'67, Kuzmin'70

Sakharov conditions

To generate baryon asymmetry, three necessary conditions should be met at the same cosmological epoch:

- B-violation

- C- and CP-violation

- Thermal inequilibrium

Early attempts

Ignatiev, Krasnikov,Kuzmin, Tavkhelidze' 78

Weinberg' 79

Ellis, Gillard, Nanopoulos' 79

Yoshimura' 79

...

Non-conservation of baryon number in decays of super-heavy particles.

Grand Unified Theories, $M_{GUT} \simeq 10^{16}$ GeV

Rather problematic today:

- Proton does not decay

$$\tau_p > 10^{33} - 10^{34} \text{ years}$$

- Very high temperature in the Universe, $T \sim M_{GUT}$, disfavored by inflation

Baryon number violation due to electroweak physics

Non-perturbative effect

Hint: triangle anomaly in baryonic current B^μ:

$$\partial_\mu B^\mu = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{B_q} \cdot 3_{colors} \cdot 3_{generations} \cdot \frac{g_W^2}{32\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\lambda\rho}^a$$

$F_{\mu\nu}^a$: $SU(2)_W$ field strength; g_W: $SU(2)_W$ coupling

Likewise, each leptonic current ($n = e, \mu, \tau$)

$$\partial_\mu L_n^\mu = \frac{g_W^2}{32\pi^2} \cdot \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\lambda\rho}^a$$

Large field fluctuations, $F^a_{\mu\nu} \propto g_W^{-1}$ may have

$$Q \equiv \int d^3x dt \; \frac{g_W^2}{32\pi^2} \cdot \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\lambda\rho} \neq 0$$

Then

$$B_{fin} - B_{in} = \int d^3x dt \; \partial_\mu B^\mu = 3Q$$

Likewise

$$L_{n,\,fin} - L_{n,\,in} = Q$$

B is violated, $B - L$ is not.

Need large field fluctuations. At zero temprature their rate is suppressed by

$$e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{g_W^2}} \sim 10^{-165}$$

High temperatures: large thermal fluctuations ("sphalerons").

B-violation rapid as compared to cosmological expansion at

$$\langle \phi \rangle_T < T$$

$\langle \phi \rangle_T$: Englert–Brout–Higgs expectation value at temperature T.

Kuzmin, V.R., Shaposhnikov' 85

Possibility to generate baryon asymmetry at electroweak epoch, $T_{EW} \sim 100$ GeV ?

Problem: Universe expands slowly. Expansion time

$$H^{-1} = \frac{M_{Pl}^*}{T_{EW}^2} \sim 10^{14} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ s}$$

Too large to have deviations from thermal equilibrium?

The only chance: 1st order phase transition,
highly inequilibrium process

Electroweak symmetry is restored, $\langle \phi \rangle_T = 0$ at high temperatures

Just like superconducting state becomes normal at "high" T

Transition may in principle be 1st order

1st order phase transition occurs from supercooled state via spontaneous creation of bubbles of new (broken) phase in old (unbroken) phase.

Bubbles then expand at $v \sim 0.1c$

Fig

Beginning of transition: about one bubble per horizon

Bubbles born microscopic, $r \sim 10^{-16}$ cm, grow to macroscopic size, $r \sim 0.01 H^{-1} \sim 0.1$ mm, before their walls collide

Boiling Universe, strongly out of equilibrium

Baryon asymmetry may be generated in the course of phase transition, provided there is enough C- and CP-violation.

Necessary condition:

Baryon asymmetry generated during transition should not be washed out aferwards

$\Longrightarrow$ B-violating processes must be switched off in broken phase

$\Longrightarrow$ Just after transition must have

$$\langle \phi \rangle_T > T$$

Does this really happen?

Not in SM

● No phase transition at all; smooth crossover

Shaposhnikov et.al.' 93 - 97

● Way too small CP-violation

What can make EW mechanism work?

- **Extra bosons**

  - Should interact strongly with Higgs(es)
  - Should be present in plasma at $T \sim 100$ GeV
    $\Longrightarrow$ not much heavier than 300 GeV

  E.g. light stop

- Plus extra source of CP-violation.
  Better in Englert–Brout–Higgs sector $\Longrightarrow$ Several
  Englert–Brout–Higgs fields

  More generally, EW baryogenesis requires
  complex dynamics in EW symmetry breaking sector
  at $E \sim$ (a few) $\cdot 100$ GeV

  LHC's FINAL WORD

Is EW the only appealing scenario?

By no means!

— Strong competitor: leptogenesis

— Many other proposals

— Something theorists never thought about

Leptogenesis:

Baryon asymmetry and neutrino masses

B is violated in electroweak interactions,

$B - L$ is conserved

But we know that lepton numbers are violated anyway: neutrino oscillations.

Neutrinos have tiny masses. We know two differences of mass squared:

$$m_2^2 - m_1^2 \simeq (0.01 \text{ eV})^2$$

Solar ν: Homestake, SAGE, GALLEX, Super-K, SNO

reactor ν

$$|m_3^2 - m_1^2| \simeq (0.05 \text{ eV})^2$$

Atmospheric ν: Kamiokande, Super-K

accelerator ν: K2K, T2K, Minos

We also know that all masses are small,

$$m_\nu < 2 \text{ eV } \text{Troitsk}, \text{ Mainz}$$

$$m_\nu < 0.2 \text{ eV } \text{Cosmology}$$

Leptogenesis: use the physics responsible for neutrino masses to generate lepton asymmetry in the Universe. Electroweak interactions automatically reprocess part of lepton asymmetry into baryon asymmetry.

<div align="right">Fukugita, Yanagida' 85 (!)</div>

Standard Model in thermal equilibrium at $T \gg 100$ GeV with $B - L \neq 1$:

$$B = C \cdot (B - L), \qquad L = -(1 - C) \cdot (B - L)$$

$$C = \frac{8N_{gen} + 4N_{Higgs}}{22N_{gen} + 13N_{Higgs}} = \frac{28}{79}, \qquad T \gg 100 \text{ GeV}$$

See-saw

To generate neutrino mass, add new fermion N, singlet under $SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$.

Allowed Majorana mass term

$$\frac{M}{2}\bar{N}^c N + \text{h.c.}$$

Also allowed Yukawa coupling to Englert–Brout–Higgs field, so Lagrangian includes

$$\frac{M}{2}\bar{N}^c N + y\bar{N}^c \tilde{H}^\dagger L + \text{h.c}$$

In vacuum $\tilde{H}^\dagger = (v/\sqrt{2}, 0)$, so one gets mass terms

$$\frac{M}{2}\bar{N}^c N + \frac{yv}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{N}^c \nu + \text{h.c}$$

At energies and momenta small compared to M, equation of motion for N is

$$MN + \frac{yv}{\sqrt{2}} v = 0 \implies N = -\frac{yv}{M\sqrt{2}} v$$

Plug N back into Lagrangian, get Majorana mass of v:

$$L_{m_v} = -\frac{y^2 v^2}{2M} \bar{v}^c v + \text{h.c.}$$

Small Majorana neutrino mass for large M and not necessarily small Yukawa coupling y:

$$m_v = \frac{y^2 v^2}{2M}$$

Three generations:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_\alpha}{2}\bar{N}^c_\alpha N_\alpha + \left(y_{\alpha\beta}\bar{N}^c_\alpha \tilde{H}^\dagger L_\beta + h.c.\right)$$

N_α: new fermions, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$.
M_α: Majorana masses, large
$y_{\alpha\beta}$: complex Yukawa couplings in basis where M is diagonal and $L_\alpha = (L_e, L_\mu, L_\tau)$.

Once H obtains vev $\tilde{H} = (v/\sqrt{2}, 0)$, SM neutrinos get Majorana masses. Mass matrix

$$m = \frac{v^2}{2} y^T M^{-1} y$$

or

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{v^2}{2} y_{\gamma\alpha} \frac{1}{M_\gamma} y_{\gamma\beta}$$

Lepton asymmetry from N-decays

Complex $y_{\alpha\beta}$ violate CP $\implies$

$$\Gamma(N \to lh) \neq \Gamma(N \to \bar{l}h)$$

(do not distinguish h and $\bar{h}$, no conserved number in the Englert–Brout–Higgs sector).

Use to generate lepton asymmetry: as the Universe expands, temperature falls below M_N, heavy N existing in plasma decay and produce lepton asymmetry.

Net asymmetry generated in decays of lightest N, call it N_1.

Equilibrium: decays compensated by inverse decays; decay and inverse decay rates equal to $\Gamma_1 \equiv \Gamma_{N_1}$.

Condition for strong deviation from thermal equilibrium:
$$\Gamma_1 \lesssim H(T = M_1)$$

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{M_1}{8\pi} \sum_\alpha |y_{1\alpha}|^2 \lesssim H(T = M_1) = \frac{M_1^2}{M_{Pl}^*}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \tilde{m}_1 = \sum_\alpha \frac{|y_{1\alpha}|^2}{2M_1} \cdot v^2 \lesssim \frac{4\pi}{M_{Pl}^*} \cdot v^2 \sim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$$

$\tilde{m}_1$: contribution of lightest N to neutrino mass matrix $\Longrightarrow$ need small neutrino masses, not many orders of magnitude larger than 10^{-3} eV.

Microscopic asymmetry

$$\delta = \frac{\Gamma(N_1 \to lh) - \Gamma(N_1 \to \bar{l}h)}{\Gamma(N_1 \to lh) + \Gamma(N_1 \to \bar{l}h)}.$$

Appears due to interferenece of tree and loop

For generic Yukawas

$$\delta \sim \frac{M_1 \tilde{m}_{2,3}}{6\pi v^2}$$

where $\tilde{m}_{2,3} =$ contributions of $N_{2,3}$ to neutrino mass matrix.

Comment: Relevant phases here are not related to phases of neutrino mass matrix: unitary rotations of $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ do not affect δ, but eliminate PMNS mixing.

Collecting things together:

$$\frac{n_L}{s} \sim 10^{-9} \frac{M_1}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{m}_{2,3}}{10^{-2} \text{ eV}} \cdot \frac{10^{-1} \text{ eV}}{\tilde{m}_1}$$

Without hierarchy in Yukawas: $M_1 \gtrsim 10^{12}$ GeV

Allowing for hierarchy in Yukawas $y_{1\alpha} \ll y_{2,3\alpha}$ one can have $\tilde{m}_1 \sim 10^{-3}$ eV and get down to $M_1 \sim 10^9$ GeV. But not smaller — we will see in a moment.

Since the generation of asymmetry occurs at $T \sim M_1$ (in fact, slightly below M_1), maximum temperature in the Universe must exceed at least 10^9 GeV. SUSY: Tension with gravitino production

Washout in scattering

Non-resonant scattering with L-violation

Fast processes $\Longrightarrow$ washout, if $\langle \sigma v \rangle n_h \gtrsim H(T)$

At $T \ll M_\alpha$ one has for light h, l

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left| \frac{y_{\gamma\alpha} y_{\gamma\beta}}{M_\gamma} \right|^2 \sim \frac{\operatorname{Tr} mm^\dagger}{v^4} = \frac{\sum m_\nu^2}{v^4}$$

$M_1 \sim 10^{12}$ GeV $\Longrightarrow m_\nu = \frac{1}{3} \sum m_\nu^2 < 0.1$ eV

In fact, this bound, when combined with successfull leptogenesis, is valid for virtually all M_1.

Conclusions

- **Electroweak baryogenesis:**

  - Will soon be falsified by the LHC

- **Leptogenesis:**

  - It is intriguing that the mechanism can work for light neutrinos only. Furthermore, neutrino masses suggested by oscillation data are in right ballpark.

  - Needs Majorana neutrino masses $\Longrightarrow$ double-β decays

  - Highly degenerate neutrino masses $m_\nu \sim 0.3 - 1$ eV would be inconsistent with (simple and appealing versions of) leptogenesis. Watch out Katrin.

- Leptogenesis, cont'd:

  - Knowning mass matrix of "our" neutrinos is, generally speaking, insufficient for calculating baryon/lepton asymmetry. Even its sign.

  - Reversing the argument, models that relate $y_{\alpha\beta}$ to "our" neutrino mass matrix can be ruled out — or have great success — once the mass matrix is completely known.

- Other sources of lepton number violation $\implies$ other mechanisms of leptogenesis

  Watch out $\mu \to e\gamma$, $\mu \to e$ conversion, $\mu \to 3e$

Backup slides

How can baryon number be not conserved without explicit B-violation in perturbation theory?

Consider massless fermions in background gauge field $\vec{A}(\mathbf{x},t)$ (gauge $A_0 = 0$). Let $\vec{A}(\mathbf{x},t)$ start from vacuum value and end up in vacuum.
NB: This can be a fluctuation

Dirac equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = i\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}(\vec{\partial} - ig\vec{A})\psi = H_{Dirac}(t)\psi$$

Suppose for the moment that $\vec{A}$ slowly varies in time. Then fermions sit on levels of instantaneous Hamiltonian,

$$H_{Dirac}(t)\psi_n = \omega_n(t)\psi_n$$

How do eigenvalues behave in time?

Fermion energy levels at $\vec{A} = 0$

Left fermions   Right fermions

Motion of energy levels in special (topological)

gauge field background $\vec{A}(t)$

QCD case, $B = N_L + N_R$ is conserved, $Q^5 = N_L - N_R$ is not

NB: Non-Abelian gauge fields only (in 4 dimensions)

QCD: Violation of Q^5 is a fact.

In chiral limit $m_u, m_d, m_s \to 0$,
global symmetry is $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_B$,
not symmetry of classical Lagrangian
$SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_A$

If only left-handed fermions interact with gauge field,

then number of fermions is not conserved

The case for $SU(2)_W$

Fermion number of every doublet changes in the same way