Detecting the neutrinos Alain Blondel University of Geneva

- **1.** Discovery : missing Energy and Momentum
- 2. Lepton number, lepton flavour, neutrinos and antineutrinos Charged current neutrino interactions
- 3. Neutrinos and the Standard Model: Neutral Currents
- 4. The three families of neutrinos
- 5. Neutrinos from the Universe: solar neutrinos, atmospheric neutrinos
- 5'. Supernova neutrinos
- 6. Neutrino properties: measuring the neutrino mass?
- 7. Neutrino oscillations and CP violation
- 8. On-going and future neutrino experiments on oscillations
- 9. What is the origin of neutrino masses?
- **10. Neutrino-less double-beta experiments**
- **11. See-saw, sterile neutrinos**
- **12. Conclusions**

many reactions, beam types and detection techniques here I will follow the physics

Neutrino mass...

The simple fact that neutrinos transform during their flight from source to detector is a proof that they have mass.

Particle that has no mass cannot transform:

 $\tau_{_{lab}} = \gamma \ \tau_{_{particle}} \ = \ E/m \ \tau_{_{particle}} \qquad \qquad \mbox{if} \ m \ \square \ 0 \ \ \square \ \ \tau_{_{lab}} \ \square \ \infty \ \ !$

Neutrino oscillations are sensitive to mass differences Δm_{ii}^2

$$P_{\mu}(\left|\nu_{e}(t)\right\rangle) = \sin^{2}2\theta \sin^{2}(1.27\Delta m_{12}^{2}L/E)$$

How can one detect the neutrino mass itself?

There are presently 3 different methods

- -- kinematic method (the most direct and most difficult)
- -- effect of neutrino mass on the early universe

-- neutrinoless double beta decay







Electron antineutrino mass measurement in tritium β decay





Electron antineutrino mass measurement in tritium β decay





What is measured

e- spectrum in β decay

 $(Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+1,A)^+ + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$

The only variable measured is electrons kinetic energy

The goal of the measurement is to determine a value for the mass of the electron antineutrino



Why the measurement is of importance

Neutrino oscillation experiments can only measure squared mass differences, not masses.

Neutrino-less Double β decay Measures this: $m_{ee} = |\sum m(\nu_j) | U_{ej} |^2 e^{i\phi_j} |$

which can be obtained with high precision, but involves the phase factor and relies on the fact that neutrinos have a Majorana mass term

Kinematic measurement is model independent

Importance in:

Cosmology: An average neutrino mass of 1 eV would contribute to the energy and matter distribution of the universe by 8 % in units of the critical density

Particle physics: Probe for new theoretical models beyond the standard model (See-saw, SUSY, String theory etc.)



Why tritium β decay is ideal



Tritium decay provides high luminosity in the shaded area. The reasons for that is:

Tritium and ¹⁸⁷Re have the lowest possible E_0 , but tritium is preferred due to:

Much higher tritium decay rate, $^{\mbox{\tiny 187}}\mbox{Re}$ half life is $2.46 x 10^{-10}$ times smaller

Less inelastic scattering in the source

Simpler excitation states in daughter Helium.



The differential decay rate

In the low-energy limit and by hiding the hadronic part in C we get this expression (Approximations made by neglecting mass terms at one

$$\frac{dR}{dE} = N \frac{G_F^2 C}{\pi^3} p(E + m_e c^2) (E_0 - E) \sqrt{(E_0 - E)^2 - m^2(\nu)c^4}$$

Comparison with the formula used in the Mainz experiment:

$$\frac{dR}{dE} = N \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi^3 \hbar^7 c^5} \cos^2(\Theta_C) |M|^2 F(E, Z+1) p(E+m_e c^2)$$
$$\times \sum_{ij} P_i (E_0 - V_i - E) |U_{ej}|^2 \sqrt{(E_0 - V_i - E)^2 - m^2 (\mathbf{v}_j) c^4}$$



Mainz Neutrino Mass Experiment since 1997



- T2 Film at 1.86 K
- quench-condensed on graphite (HOPG)
- 45 nm thick (~130ML), area 2cm²
- Thickness determination by ellipsometry

Mainz V group 2001: J. Bonn B. Bornschein* L. Bornschein* B. Flatt Ch. Kraus B. Müller <u>E.W. Otten</u> J.P.Schall Th. Thümmler** Ch. Weinheimer**

> * → FZ Karlsruhe ** → Univ. Bonn



The source

- T_2 is prepared on a substrate held at 1.9K
 - The tritium gas is analyzed with a quadrupole mass spectrometer.
 - The pressure in the tritium gas inlet tube is between 10^{-2} and 10^{-1} mbar.
 - Gas is sprayed on a HOPG substrate (Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite) held at 1.9K.
 - The gas is quench condensed on the film (80-100 Å at a time)
 - The growth of the layers is controlled optically (3 min/run)
 - Length of the whole film preparation is between 10 and 25 min.
 - Typical run values thickness 417±30 Å, purity 75%±10%



MAC-E-Filter

- Magnetic Adiabatic Collimation followed by an Electrostatic Filter.
- Silicon (semiconductor) detector in five rings, only the central three are used to derive values.
- High resolution:

 $\Delta E_{\rm k}/E_{\rm k} = B_{\rm a}/B_{\rm max} = 1/4000.$

 $U_{0 eff} = -18370 V$ Adiabatic motion $\mu = \frac{\frac{1}{2}mv_{\perp}^2}{B} \prod_{l=1}^{4-1} B$ **B** FIELD \sim The diameter and length FIFI D of the spectrometer \gg Mainz: B_{max} B D=1m and L=4m T₂ SOURCE SOLENOID DFTFCTOR ELE Troitsk: Fig. 1. Schematic sketch of the Mainz spectrometer (MAC-E-Filter). D=1.5 m and L=7 m. p。(without E field)

Mainz data of 1998, 1999







KATRIN experiment programmed to begin in 2008. Aim is to be sensitive to $m_{ve} < 0.2 \text{ eV}$

slide from my lectures in 2006







ß-spectroscopy at tritium endpoint E₀ = 18.6 keV

Improve precision by factor 100 (pinnacle of long history)

tritium handling

- stable supply of high purity T₂
- tritium retention factor >1014

UHV techniques

- p < 10⁻¹¹ mbar in large spectrometers

cryo engineering

- 10-3 temperature stabilisation of source at 27K



Physics run in 2019-2023!

spectrometer - transport





The KATRIN experiment at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Basic ideas of KATRIN:

- Windowless gaseous molecular tritium source

 \rightarrow ultra-high luminosity and small systematics

- Huge spectrometer of MAC-E-Filter type

 \rightarrow ultra-high energy resolution

Sensitivity on m(v_e): 2 eV → 200 meV





Windowless Gaseous Molecular Tritium Source WGTS



European Neutrino Town Meeting, Oct.22+23, 2019, CERN

____6



Integral

transmission

function:

 $\Delta \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\min} / \mathbf{B}_{\max}$

= 0.93 eV

⊖_{mox} (degree) 020304050

0.5

E-U (eV)

0.4 0.35

0.3

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

0<u>5</u> -0.5

ransmissior

The KATRIN Main Spectrometer: an integrating high resolution MAC-E-Filter

18.6 kV retardation voltage, $\sigma < 60 \text{ meV/years}$ Energy resolution (0% \rightarrow 100% transmission): 0.93 eV Ultra-high vacuum, pressure < 10⁻¹¹ mbar Air coils for earth magnetic field compensation Double layer wire electrode for background reduction and field shaping





: Electromagnetic design of the KATRIN main spectrometer with twolayer wire electrodes

Take electrons of any momentum orientation in high B-field (B = 3.5 T) and make the adiabatic transformation to longitudinal momentum in very small B-field ($B_{min} = 3.36 G$) (1T = 10'000 G)

Conservation of angular momentum L = $P_T R$ with R= $P_T/0.3B \square L = P_T^2/0.3B = Cte \square P_T$ scales as 1/sqrt(B)



Magnetic Adiabatic Collimation & Electrostatic filter

- Align electrons along electrostatic field
- Select all signal electrons with $E > qU_A \left(1 + \frac{B_A}{B_{\text{max}}}\right)$





• Electron gun data (0.2 eV resolution)





 \Rightarrow Refines KATRIN model

data taken in 2018 presented at Moriond EW 2019 (March) by V. Sibille



KATRIN's uncertainty budget (design sensitivity, ~2004):

Statistical Final-state spectrum T– ions in T2 gas Unfolding energy loss Column density fluct. Background slope HV fluctuations Source (plasma) potential Source B-field variation

σ(m²)







3 yr of data taking

sensitivity on the neutrino mass (stat.+sys. uncertainties):

 \rightarrow 200 meV (design value)

Higher (Rydberg) background rate

 \rightarrow using larger data range (E₀-60 eV) and a bit less energy res.:



 \rightarrow 240 meV (without further mitigation of the Rydberg background)

"Science" data taking 2019 – 2023 and hopefully beyond with upgrades

Gain of additional differential method avoiding loss of statistics by many filter settings



i.e. up to a factor of 2.5 in $m_{\rm v}$ w.r.t. standard KATRIN !

 \rightarrow KATRIN could reach < 100 meV with such a method

Numbers are in agreement with simulations in dipl. thesis of A. Mertens, KIT, 2012

What IS the neutrino mass????? Cosmology and neutrino mass



There is a long way to go to match direct measurements of neutrino masses with oscillation results and cosmological constraints







Direct exploration of the Big Bang -- Cosmology

measurements of the large scale structure of the universe using a variety of techniques

-- Cosmic Microwave Background -- observations of red shifts of distant galaxies with a variety of candles. Big news in 2002 : Dark Energy or cosmological constant

Iarge scale structure in space, time and velocity is determined by early universe fluctuations, thus by mechanisms of energy release (neutrinos or other hot dark matter)

The early universe is sensitive to neutrinos which are carriers of fast, weakly interacting, kinetic energy.

Number of neutrino (or neutrino-like) degrees of freedom

controls the size of the effects

Mass of neutrinos

controls the velocity of neutrinos and the energy at which they stop being relevant



What neutrino effects are we testing?

JL & Pastor Pys. Rep. 2016; JL, Mangano, Miele, Pastor "Neutrino Cosmology" CUP; Drewes et al. 1602.04816; PDG review: JL & Verde "Neutrinos in Cosmology"; Gerbino & Lattanzi 2017





Formation of Structure

Smooth **Structured**

fraction of hot dark matter



Halzen

adding hot neutrino dark matter erases small structure





http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/



Figure 4. CMB power spectra for neutrino mass per flavour $m_v = 0$ (_____), $m_v = 0.1$ (..., $m_v = 0.3$ (- - - -), $m_v = 0.5$ (- - - -), and $m_v = 3 \text{ eV}$ (..., ...). The other parameters are fixed at $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_b = 0.04$ and h = 0.7. The vertical bars are the WMAP power spectrum data points.





Number of Neutrino-like Species (Neff)



- $N_{eff} = 3.62 \pm 0.48$ (SPT+WMAP7)
- $N_{eff} = 3.71 \pm 0.35$ (SPT+WMAP7+H₀+BAO) (1.9 σ higher than 3.046)
 - N_{eff} = 2.97 ± 0.56 (ACT+WMAP7)
- $N_{eff} = 3.50 \pm 0.42$ (ACT+WMAP7+H₀+BAO)
Massive neutrinos from the CMB





Mass constraints from the CMB



BAO and **H**₀ measurements provide low-redshift information on the hubble rate at recent times

CMB is consistent at < 2 σ with massless neutrinos--**not very** satisfying!



As seen by structure growth





Hou, Reichardt et al., arXiv:1212.6267

Hints of massive neutrinos



More recent results

Neutrinos from cosmology, Graziano Rossi, Moriond 2016 EW <u>https://indico.in2p3.fr/event/12279/other-view?detailLevel=contributi</u> <u>on&showSession=all&view=nicecompact&showDate=all</u>

> **KEY RESULTS** COSMOLOGICAL PRIMER INDIVIDUAL CONSTRAINTS ON $\sum m_{\nu}$ (95% CL) **COSMOLOGY &** NEUTRINOS $\sum \mathbf{m}_{\nu} < \mathbf{0.12 eV} \rightarrow \text{CMB} + \text{Lyman} - \alpha + \text{BAO}$ SYNERGIES & PROSPECTS JOINT CONSTRAINTS ON $N_{\rm eff}$ and $\sum m_{\nu}$ (95% CL) $N_{\rm eff} = 2.88^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$ & $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.14 \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{CMB} + \text{Lyman-}\alpha + \text{BAO}$ **1.** Results on $\sum m_{\nu}$ tend to favor the *normal hierarchy scenario* for the masses of the active neutrino species 2. Sterile neutrino thermalized with active neutrinos ruled out at more than 5σ and $N_{\rm eff} = 0$ rejected at more than $14\sigma \rightarrow \rm most$ robust evidence for the CNB from $N_{\rm eff} \sim 3$



FINAL JOINT CONSTRAINTS



Getting close to the absolute limit of 0.05 eV!



Summed mass of active neutrinos

95%CL upper bounds on $\Sigma_i m_i$ for 7 parameters





hese data are sensitive to the existence of additional sterile low mass neutrinos (as invoked to explain LSND and MiniBoone) and exclude them:



For Dodelson-Widrow neutrinos, physical mass $m = m_{eff}/\Delta N_{eff}$



However it should be stressed that new physics can seriously worsen the limits!

Robustness of mass bounds against cosmological model extensions

95%CL upper bounds on $\Sigma_i m_i$ beyond 7 parameters



Usual suspects:

- extra massless relics
- extra light relics
- spatial curvature
- simplest dynamical DE
- primordial GWs
- primordial tilt running

Even more freedom in:

- modified Einstein Gravity
- interactions in DM sector
- primordial perturbations

[Planck col.] 1502.01589; Di Valentino et al. 1507.06646





Neutrinos have mass and mix

This is NOT the Standard Model

why cant we just add masses to neutrinos?



Majorana neutrinos $V_i = V_i$

or

Dirac neutrinos?
$$V_i \neq \overline{V}_i$$

 $e \neq e - since Charge(e +) = - Charge(e -).$

But neutrinos may not Garry any conserved chargelike

quantum number.

There is NO experimetal evidence or theoretical need for a conserved Lepton Number L as L(v) = L(I-) = -L(v) = -L(I+) = 1

then, nothing distinguishes ${\cal V}_i$ from ${\cal V}_i$

violation of fermion number....



Adding masses to the Stadard model neutrino 'simply' by adding a Dirac mass term

 $m_D v_L v_R$, $m_D \overline{v_L} v_R$

implies adding a right-handed neutrino.

No SM symmetry prevents adding then a term like

 $m_M \nu_R{}^c \nu_R$

and this simply means that a neutrino turns into a antineutrino (the charge conjugate of a right handed antineutrino is a left handed neutrino!)

this does not violate spin conservation since a left handed field has a component of the opposite helicity (and vice versa)

 $v_L \approx v_- + v_+ m/E$



 m_D

 $(\overline{v})_R \times V_L$

 m_{I}

Neutrino mass with Dirac and Majorana mass terms:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nu}_L, \, \bar{N}_R^c) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^c \\ N_R \end{pmatrix}$$

 $2 m_D$

 $\frac{M_{R} \neq 0}{m_{D} \neq 0}$

ENSI

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{1}{M_R - 0}$$
$$m_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[(0 + M_R) - \sqrt{(0 - M_R)^2 + 4 m_D^2} \right]$$
$$M = \frac{1}{2} \left[(0 + M_R) + \sqrt{(0 - M_R)^2 + 4 m_D^2} \right]$$

general formula

if $m_D \ll M_R$

 $\ll 1$

 $\simeq -m_D^2/M_R$

 $\simeq M_R$

$$M_{R} = 0$$

$$m_{D} \neq 0$$

$$\frac{Dirac \text{ only, (like e- vs e+):}}{I_{weak}} = \frac{V_{L} \quad V_{R} \quad \overline{V}_{R} \quad \overline{V}_{L}}{\frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 0}$$

$$4 \text{ states of equal masses}$$

$$Some have I=1/2 \text{ (active)}$$

$$Some have I=0 \text{ (sterile)}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{R} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_{D} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{ajorana only}$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{weak} = \frac{\mathbf{V}_{L} \qquad \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{R}}{\frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{2}}$$
2 states of equal masses
All have I=1/2 (active)

$$M_{R} \neq 0$$

$$m_{D} \neq 0$$

$$Dirac + Majorana$$

$$I_{weak} = V_{L} N_{R} \overline{V}_{R} \overline{N}_{L}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} 0 \frac{1}{2} 0$$

$$4 \text{ states , 2 mass levels}$$

$$m1 \text{ have I=1/2 (active)}$$

$$m2 \text{ have I=0 (sterile)}$$

Electroweak eigenstates

effect on the universe whatsoever."





$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2 m_D}{M_R - 0} \ll 1$$
$$m_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (0 + M_R) - \sqrt{(0 - M_R)^2 + 4 m_D^2} \end{bmatrix} \simeq -m_D^2/M_R$$
$$M = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (0 + M_R) + \sqrt{(0 - M_R)^2 + 4 m_D^2} \end{bmatrix} \simeq M_R$$
general formula if $m_D \ll M_R$

 m_D associated with EWSB, part of SM, bounded by $v/\sqrt{2} = 174$ GeV M_R is SM singlet, does whatever it wants: $\Rightarrow M_R \gg m_D$ Hence, $\theta \simeq m_D/M_R \ll 1$ $\nu = \nu_L \cos \theta - N_R^c \sin \theta \simeq \nu_L$ with mass $m_\nu \simeq -m_D^2/M_R$

 $N = N_R \cos \theta + \nu_L^c \sin \theta \simeq N_R$ with mass $M \simeq M_R$

one family see-saw : $\theta \approx (m_D/M)$ $m_v \approx \frac{m_D^2}{M}$ $m_N \approx M \rightarrow |U|^2 \propto \theta^2 \approx m_v / m_N$

CHORA CHARACTER

Neutrinos : the New Physics there is... and a lot of it!

SM	Dirac mass term only	Majorana mass term only	Dirac AND Majorana Mass terms	
$\begin{array}{ccc} v_{L} & \overline{v}_{R} \\ I = \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} \nu_{L} & \nu_{R} & \overline{\nu}_{R} & \overline{\nu}_{L} \\ \nu_{2} & 0 & \nu_{2} \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{array}$	ν _L ' ν _R ' ¹ / ₂ ¹ / ₂	M ₃ M ₂ M ₁ M ₃ M ₁ M ₂ M ₁ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃ M ₃	
X 3 Families	X 3 Families	X 3 Families	X 3 Families	
6 massless states WrONG	3 masses 12 states 3 active neutrinos 3 active antinu's 6 sterile neutrinos 3 mixing angles 1 CP violating phase $0\mathbf{v}\beta\beta = 0$	3 masses 6 active states No steriles 3 mixing angles 3 CP violating phases $0\mathbf{v}\mathbf{\beta}\mathbf{\beta} \neq 0$	6 masses 12 states 6 active states 6 sterile neutrinos More mixing angles and CPV phases $0v\beta\beta \neq 0$ \Box Leptogenesis and Dark matter	

Mass hierarchies are all unknown except m₁ < m₂ Preferred scenario has both Dirac and Majorana terms many physics possibilities and experimental challenges





The mass spectrum of the elementary particles. Neutrinos are 10¹² times lighter than other elementary fermions. The hierarchy of this spectrum remains a puzzle of particle physics.

Most attractive wisdom: via the see-saw mwchanism, the neutrinos are very light because they are low-lying states in a split doublet with heavy neutrinos of mass scale interestingly similar to the grand unification scale.

$$m M = \langle v \rangle^2$$
 with $\langle v \rangle \sim = m_{top} = 174 \text{ GeV}$

□ for
$$m_v = O(10^{-2}) \text{ eV} \implies M \sim 10^{15} \text{ Ge}$$





One often considers that $M_{_R} \sim M_{_{GUT}} \sim 10^{_{10}}$ to $10^{_{15}}~GeV$



Pion decay with massive neutrinos



in case of pure Dirac: transition to sterile right handed neutrinos in case of pure Majorana: transition to anti-neutrino in case of see-saw: if possible, transition to heavy RH neutrino

 $(.05/30\ 10^6)^2 = 10^{-18}$

no problem



The Idea That Can Work —

Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay [0vββ]



By avoiding competition, this process can cope with the small neutrino masses.





Two neutrino $\beta\beta$ decay has been detected in ten nuclei also into exited states



Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay (0vßß)

Hypothetical ββ decay mode allowed if neutrinos



- M⁰[∨] is not known, must be estimated theoretically, estimates vary by factor of ~2 depending on method
- For $m_{\beta\beta} = 50$ meV estimated half lives $10^{25} 10^{27}$ years ! depending on the nuclear system

Three Neutrino Mixing

$$\nu_{l\mathsf{L}} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{lj} \, \nu_{j\mathsf{L}} \, \, .$$

U is the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) trino mixing matrix,

n

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix}$$

• $U - n \times n$ unitary:

2

3

4

6

3

6

A

 $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ mixing angles: 1 3

CP-violating phases:

• ν_j - Dirac: $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) = 0 = 1$ • ν_j - Majorana: $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) = 1 = 3$

n = 3: 1 Dirac and

2 additional CP-violating phases, Majorana phases

S.M. Bilenky, J. Hosek, S.T.P.,1980; J. Schechter, J.W.F. Valle,1980; M. Doi, T. Kotani, E. Takasugi,1981

$$U = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\Theta_1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\Theta_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)
$$V = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13}e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)
$$A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \sim \langle m \rangle M(A,Z), \qquad M(A,Z) - NME,$$

 $|\langle m \rangle| = |m_1|U_{e1}|^2 + m_2|U_{e2}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{21}} + m_3|U_{e3}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{31}}|,$





CHOCK CENT



Experimental approach

Geochemical experiments ${}^{82}Se = {}^{82}Kr, {}^{96}Zr = {}^{96}Mo (?), {}^{128}Te = {}^{128}Xe (non confirmed), {}^{130}Te = {}^{130}Te$ Radiochemical experiments ${}^{238}U = {}^{238}Pu (non confirmed)$

Direct experiments

Source = detector (calorimetric)

Source ≠ detector









NEMO

Criteria to select $\beta\beta$ events:

- \approx 2 tracks with charge < 0
- **≈** 2 PMT, each > 200 keV
- ➢ PMT-Track association
- ➤ Common vertex

- ➤ Internal hypothesis (external event rejection)
- 🔀 No other isolated PMT (γ rejection)
- ➢ No delayed track (²¹⁴Bi rejection)

typical 2vββ evenement GIF2004 Alain Blondel



Candidate Isotope	Experiment	
⁴⁸ Ca	Candles	
⁷⁶ Ge	Gerda , Majorana	
⁸² Se	SuperNemo,Lucifer	
^{I 30} Te	CUORE	
¹³⁶ Xe	EXO, NEXT, KamLAND-Zen	
¹⁵⁰ Nd	SNO+	

-

.



Where they show what they can do:

Best results from 2vββ

Isotope	T _{1/2} (10 ¹⁹ yrs)	Experiment	
⁴⁸ Ca	6.4 ± 1.2	NEMO-3	
⁷⁶ Ge	192.6 ± 9.4	.4 GERDA	
⁸² Se	9.4 ± 0.6	NEMO-3	
⁹⁶ Zr	2.35 ± 0.21	NEMO-3	
¹⁰⁰ Mo	0.68 ± 0.05	NEMO-3	
¹¹⁶ Cd	2.74 ± 0.18	NEMO-3/Aurora	
¹³⁰ Te	79 ± 2 CUORE		
¹³⁶ Xe	216.5 ± 6.1 EXO-200		
¹⁵⁰ Nd	0.93 ± 0.06 NEMO-3		

NEMO-3 candidate ββ event



- Probe nuclear models
 - SSD vs HSD
- Possible experimental access to g_A
- Ultimate background characterisation
- Sensitive to exotic new physics
 - (LNV with Majoron, Lorentz violation, boson neutrinos, G_F variation etc)





Blondel

As of Oct 2018

Best results from 0vββ

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} (90\% \text{ C.L.}) = 2.54 \times 10^{26} \text{ y} \left(\frac{\varepsilon \times a}{W}\right) \sqrt{\frac{M \times t}{b \times \Delta E}}$$

lsotope, mass	Q _{ββ} , keV	b x ΔE x M, counts/yr	T _{1/2} , yr	<m<sub>v>, eV</m<sub>	Experiment, technique
⁷⁶ Ge, 40kg	2039	0.07	> 0.9 x 10 ²⁶	< 0.11-0.25	GERDA, HPGe
⁸² Se, 5kg	2998	0.4	> 2.4 x 10 ²⁴	< 0.38-0.77	CUPID-0, scintillating bolometers
¹⁰⁰ Mo, 7kg	3034	1.5	> 1.1 x 10 ²⁴	< 0.33-0.62	NEMO-3, tracko-calo
¹³⁰ Te, 200kg	2528	21	> 1.5 x 10 ²⁵	< 0.13-0.50	CUORE, bolometers
¹³⁶ Xe, 380kg	2458	1	> 1.07 x 10 ²⁶	< 0.06-0.16	KamLAND- Zen, doped LS

Different techniques reach similar sensitivity with different isotope mass



GERDA motivations

The GERmanium Detector Array experiment is an ultra-low background experiment designed to search for $^{76}{\rm Ge}~0\nu\beta\beta$ decay.

 $\begin{array}{c} 2\nu\beta\beta\\ (Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A)+2e^-+2\overline{\nu}_e\\ \Delta L = 0 \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Predicted by s.m.}\\ \text{Observed.} \end{array}$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0\nu\beta\beta\\ (Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A) + 2e^{-}\\ \Delta L = 2 \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Physics beyond s.m.}\\ \mathsf{Observed?} \end{array}$$



Light Majorana neutrino exchange



Schechter-Valle: $0\nu\beta\beta \Longrightarrow$ Majorana ν



Part of Heidelberg-Moscow Collaboration claimed evidence for $0\nu\beta\beta$ observation of ⁷⁶Ge

$$\begin{split} T_{1/2}^{0\nu} &= 1.19(0.69-4.18) \\ &\times 10^{25} \text{ yr } (3\sigma \text{ range}) \\ \text{Phys. Lett. B 586, 198 (2004)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T^{0\nu}_{1/2} &= 2.23^{+0.44}_{-0.31} \times 10^{25} \text{ yr} \\ \text{Mod.Phys.Lett.A21:1547-} \\ & 1566,2006) \end{split}$$

GERDA first goal: check the HdM claim



Heidelberg-Moscow exp.: evidence for $\beta\beta$ -0 ν of ⁷⁶Ge

- best exploitation of the Ge detector technique proposed by E. Fiorini in 1960
 - longest running experiment (13 years) with largest exposure (71.7 kg \times y)
 - Status-of-the-art for low background techniques and for enriched Ge detectors
 - ► reference for all last generation $\beta\beta$ -0 ν experiments



1990 - 2003 data, all 5 detectors exposure = 71.7 kg×y $\tau_{y_2}^{0_{V}} = 1.2 \times 10^{25}$ years $\langle m_{y_2} \rangle = 0.44$ eV

H.V.Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 198

- still, community does not fully accept the result, because:
 - signal is indeed too faint (4 σ) to be blindly accepted: people still find some weak points in the published analysis
 - presence of not understood peaks around the signal and with similar significance
 - impossibility to check an energy window larger than the published one
- = nevertheless any future $\beta\beta$ -0 ν experiment will have to cope with this result

GERDA @ LNGS



The GERDA experiment is hosted in the Hall A of the Gran Sasso Laboratory (INFN)

1400 m of rock 3800 m.w.e. Suppression of $\mu\text{-flux}\!>10^6$





The GERDA setup



Water tank $\emptyset = 10 \text{ m}$ h = 8.9 m $V \text{ water} = 590 \text{ m}^3$ The water tank acts as an active Cherenkov veto

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Cryostat} \\ \varnothing = 4 \text{ m} \\ \text{H} = 5.88 \text{ m} \\ \text{Filled by LAr} \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:LAr} \begin{array}{l} \text{LAr} \\ \text{Volume} \sim 64 \ \text{m}^3 \\ \text{T}{=} 88.8 \ \text{K} \end{array}$

Naked detectors in LAr! LAr \rightarrow Passive shielding, Cooling, Active veto detecting scintillation light (Phase II) Detectors are organized in strings - Low mass holders The current lock system supports 2 arms = 3+1 strings of detectors.



⁷⁶ Ge $2\nu\beta\beta$ half-life



Binned maximum likelihood

Fit range: 600-1800 keV Exposure: 5.04 kg·yr

Best fit: $2\nu\beta\beta$ 80% 42 K 14% 214 Bi 4% 40 K 2%

Integrating over all the nuisance parameters: $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = (1.84^{+0.09}_{-0.08} \ ^{+0.11}_{-0.06} \ ^{\text{syst}}_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{21} \text{ yr}$

The GERDA Collaboration J.Phys.G 40 (2013) 035110



Start of GERDA Phase II





Coaxial layout of detectors

Full Integration of Phase II Array finished in December 2015

• all Ge and LAr detector channels working



Victoria Wagner (MPIK)

GERDA Phase II

Moriond, 24.03.2017



Performance of the LAr Veto



• $2\nu\beta\beta$:bck = 96:4 (1.0-1.3 MeV)



Victoria Wagner (MPIK)

GERDA Phase II

Moriond, 24.03.2017


Spectrum at $Q_{\beta\beta}$





Conclusions

 GERDA sets a new limit on the half-life of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ⁷⁶Ge

 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$ > 5.3 · 10²⁵ yr @ 90 C.L.

 $m_{\beta\beta} < (150 - 330) meV$

- best energy resolution: FWHM = 3.0 keV (4.0 keV) BEGe (Coax) at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
- flat background in ROI
- lowest background at Q_{ββ}. 10⁻³ counts/ (keV·kg·yr)

will stay background-free within 100 kg·yr

→ important ingredients for discovery



1.8

median 68% prob.





GERDA Phase II







Q-value 2457.9±0.4keV



EXO-200 Time Projection Chamber (TPC) Basics





Simulation of Charge Drift

- Two TPC modules with common cathode in the middle.
- APD array observes prompt scintillation for drift time measurement.
 - From which the Z-position can be calculated
- V-position given by induction signal on shielding grid.
- U-position and energy given by charge collection grid.



The EXO-200 liquid ¹³⁶Xe Time Projection Chamber



Neutrino 2018, Jun 2018

EXO-200 and nEXO - Gratta



Neutrino physics -- Alain

6



A brief history of EXO-200 results

	Sensitivity (yr)	90% CL Limit (yr)	<m<sub>ββ> (meV)</m<sub>
PRL 109, 032505 (2012)	0.7x10 ²⁵	1.6x10 ²⁵	PP
Nature 510, 229 (2014)	1.9x10 ²⁵	1.1x10 ²⁵	
PRL 120 072701 (2018)	3.8x10 ²⁵	1.8x10 ²⁵	147-398



The sensitivity is the correct way to estimate the capability of an experiment, because it contains all the information that can be / is used. If one wants to use the incomplete picture of a single parameter, then the "background index" is ~ (0.11±0.01) / (kg·yr·FWHM)

Neutrino 2018, Jun 2018

EXO-200 and nEXO - Gratta

KamLAND-Zen



→ Mini-balloon Ø=3.08 m installed into center of KamLAND LS, 25µm thick nylon film

²³⁸ U	2×10 ⁻¹² g/g
²³² Th	5×10 ⁻¹² g/g
⁴⁰ K	6×10 ⁻¹² g/g
Xe leakage	<0.26kg/yr

 Filled with 13 tons of Xe-loaded LS (300kg of ¹³⁶Xe) :

Component	Chemical formula	Fraction	
Decane	$\mathrm{C_{10}H_{26}}$	82% (by volume)	
Pseudocumene	C_9H_{12}	18%(by volume)	
PPO	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{NO}$	2.7 g/l	
Dissolved Xe	90.93 ${\pm}0.05\%$ $^{136}{\rm Xe}$	2.5% by weight	
	$8.89{\pm}0.01\%$ $^{134}{\rm Xe}$		

Neutrino physics -- Alain Blondel

 KL-Zen is only ~1% of KamLAND volume, reactor, geoneutrino, supernova watch etc continue in remaining KamLAND LS



KamLAND(-Zen) detector

- 1 kton Scintillation Detector
 - 6.5m radius balloon filled with:
 - 20% Pseudocumene (scintillator)
 - 80% Dodecane (oil)
 - PPO
- 34% PMT coverage
 - ~1300 17" fast PMTs
 - ~550 20" large PMTs
- Water Cherenkov veto
- Operational since 2002



Neutrino physics -- Alain Blondel

Patrick Decowski/Nikhef

KamLAND(-Zen) detector

- 1 kton Scintillation Detector
 - 6.5m radius balloon filled with:
 - 20% Pseudocumene (scintillator)
 - 80% Dodecane (oil)
 - PPO
- 34% PMT coverage
 - ~1300 17" fast PMTs
 - ~550 20" large PMTs
- Water Cherenkov veto
- Operational since 2002



Neutrino physics -- Alain Blondel

Patrick Decowski/Nikhef

KamLAND-Zen advantages & disadvantages

- +Well-understood detector
- +Highly pure, self-shielding environment
- +Large ββ source mass, scalable
- -Relatively poor energy resolution
- -No particle identification

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \propto \epsilon \frac{a}{A} \sqrt{\frac{Mt}{b\Delta E}}$$



Patrick Decowski/Nikhef





- \rightarrow ¹⁰C rejection
- muon-neutron-¹⁰C (τ=27.8s) triple
 coincidence

Patrick Decowski/Nikhef

Visible Energy (MeV)

10





KLZ-400 Phase 2 Data



Event Selection:

- i) R < 2m
- ii) $\Delta T > 2ms$ after muons
- iii) no ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po (τ=237μs)
- iv) no ²¹²Bi-²¹²Po (τ=0.4μs)
- v) no reactor neutrinos

We use 40 equal-volume bins to account for varying BG: Simultaneous spectral fit in all volume bins

KamLAND-Zen Coll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 082503 (2016); arXiv:1605.02889





Effective Neutrino Mass



Blondel



As of Oct 2018

Best results from 0vββ

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} (90\% \text{ C.L.}) = 2.54 \times 10^{26} \text{ y} \left(\frac{\varepsilon \times a}{W}\right) \sqrt{\frac{M \times t}{b \times \Delta E}}$$

lsotope, mass	Q _{ββ} , keV	b x ΔE x M, counts/yr	T1/2, yr	<m<sub>v>, eV</m<sub>	Experiment, technique
⁷⁶ Ge, 40kg	2039	0.07	> 0.9 x 10 ²⁶	< 0.11-0.25	GERDA, HPGe
⁸² Se, 5kg	2998	0.4	> 2.4 x 10 ²⁴	< 0.38-0.77	CUPID-0, scintillating bolometers
¹⁰⁰ Mo, 7kg	3034	1.5	> 1.1 x 10 ²⁴	< 0.33-0.62	NEMO-3, tracko-calo
¹³⁰ Te, 200kg	2528	21	> 1.5 x 10 ²⁵	< 0.13-0.50	CUORE, bolometers
¹³⁶ Xe, 380kg	2458	1	> 1.07 x 10 ²⁶	< 0.06-0.16	KamLAND- Zen, doped LS

Different techniques reach similar sensitivity with different isotope mass



Current Results and Next Generation prospects





The Search for the Right-Handed Neutrinos



The Nobel Prize in Physics 2015 Takaaki Kajita, Arthur B. McDonald

Share this: 📑 📴 🔰 🛨 🤋 51 🖂

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2015





Photo © Takaaki Kajita Takaaki Kajita Prize share: 1/2

Photo: K. MacFarlane. Queen's University /SNOLAB Arthur B. McDonald Prize share: 1/2

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2015 was awarded jointly to Takaaki Kajita and Arthur B. McDonald *"for the discovery of neutrino oscillations, which shows that neutrinos have mass"*

Q | Terms

Copyright © Nobel Media AB 2015

Baryon asymmetry of Universe requires

- -- CP violation neutrinos
- -- fermion number violation
- -- non-equilibrium

The discovery that neutrino flavours transform (Neutrino Oscillations) was a long process initiated in 1968 and completed in 1998-2001. → Neutrinos have mass !

There is no unique way to incorporate this in the Standard Model

It almost certainly implies the existence of

- -- new mass-generation mechanism
- -- new phenomena such as right-handed neutrinos

➔ possible explanations for the baryon asymmetry of the universe and for dark matter

Neutrino masses? Mixings? Ordering? Majorana mass term? CP violation eV, keV, GeV, TeV, ..., ZeV RH neutrinos?

This opens a deep field of research for many many years.



Baryon asymmetry of Universe requires:

- -- CP violation 3 families of neutrinos
- -- fermion number violation Majorana mass term
- -- non-equilibrium The Big Bang + heavy neutrino decay





Adding masses to the Standard model neutrino 'simply' by adding a Dirac mass term (Yukawa coupling) \overrightarrow{v}_{R} \overrightarrow{v}_{L}

$$m_D v_L \overline{v}_R$$
 $m_D \overline{v}_L v_R$

implies adding a right-handed neutrino (new particle)

<u>No SM symmetry prevents adding then a term like</u>

and this simply means that a neutrino turns into a antineutrino

It is perfectly conceivable ('natural'?) that both terms are present. Dirac mass term + Majorana mass term [] 'see-saw'

 $m_M \overline{v_R}^c v_R$

B. Kayser, the physics of massive neutrinos (1989)





 m_D

Mass eigenstates





There even exists a scenario that explains everything: the vMSM



3.6

3.4 Energy [keV]



Manifestations of heavy right handed neutrinos





-- mixing with active neutrinos leads to various observable consequences

- -- if very light (eV) , possible effect on neutrino oscillations (see talks later today)
- -- if in keV region (dark matter), monochromatic photons from galaxies with $E=m_N/2$
- -- possibly measurable effects at High Energy

If N is heavy it will decay in the detector (not invisible)

- PMNS matrix unitarity violation and deficit in Z «invisible» width
- \rightarrow Higgs, Z, W visible exotic decays H \rightarrow v_i N_i and Z \rightarrow v_i N_i, W-> I_i N_i
- → also in K, charm and b decays via W^{*}-> $I_i \pm N$, N → $I_j \pm$ with any of six sign and lepton flavour combination
- → violation of unitarity and lepton universality in Z, W or τ decays -- etc... etc...
- -- Couplings are very small (m_v / m_N) (but who knows?) and generally seem out of reach at high energy colliders.



Present limits



13.03.2016

Alain Blondel Search for Right Handed Neutrinos

Search Processes (I)





 $au_{N_1} = 10^{14}\, {
m years} \left(rac{10\ {
m keV}}{M_N}
ight)^5 \left(rac{10^{-8}}{ heta_{
m r}^2}
ight)$

Long life, dark matter candidate Equilibrium with neutrinos produced in the stars ➔ Search for gamma emission line (such as 3.5 keV line) Drewes et al; arXiv:1602.04816v1

Meson decay (π ,K: neutrino beams) examples:



FIG. 2. Typical decays of a neutral heavy lepton via (a) charged current and (b) neutral current. Here the lepton l_i

du···

$$L \approx \frac{3}{|U|^2 \left(m_{\nu_m} (\text{GeV}/c^2)\right)^6} \times \frac{P_{\nu}}{45 \text{GeV}/c}$$

uū. dd. …

Decay via W gives at least two charged particles, and amounts to $\sim 60\%$ of decays. Searches for long lived decays in neutrino beams PS191, NuTeV, CHARM; SHIP and DUNE

Alain Blondel Se

N proposals



Experiment	PS191	NuTeV	CHARM	SHiP
Proton energy (GeV)	19.2	800	400	400
Protons on target $(\cdot 10^{19})$	0.86	0.25	0.24	20
Decay volume (m^3)	360	1100	315	1780
Decay volume pressure (bar)	1 (He)	1 (He)	1 (air)	10^{-6} (air)
Distance to target (m)	128	1400	480	80-90
Off beam axis (mrad)	40	0	10	0

Next generation heavy neutrino search experiment SHIP

- -- focuses on neutrinos from charm to cover 0.5 2 GeV region
- -- uses beam dump to reduce background from neutrino interactions from pions and Kaons and bring the detector as close as possible to source.
- -- increase of beam intensity and decay volume status: proposal, physics report and technical report exist. R&D phase approved at CERN







__.__

Neutrinos

_ _





detector

Alam Biolider Search for Right Handed Neutrinos

HNL sensitivity

Cosmologically interesting region at low couplings

• $m_{HNL} < m_b$

SHiP will have much better sensitivity than LHCb or Belle2

 m_b < m_{HNL} < m_z
 FCC-ee, improvements expected from ATLAS/CMS

- m_{HNL} > m_z targeted by ATLAS/CMS at HL-LHC
- At $m_{HNL} = 1 \text{ GeV}$ and $U^2 = 10^{-8}$ (50 x lower than present limit), SHiP will see more than **1,000** fully reconstructed events.



Processes (II)



Search for heavy right-handed neutrinos in collider experiments.



Hadron colliders



Z factory (FCC-ee, Tera-Z) HE Lepton Collider (LEP2, CEPC, CLIC, FCC-ee, ILC, μμ) arXiv:1411.5230



Phys. Rev. D 92, 075002 (2015) arXiv:1503.05491





-- BELLE Phys. Rev. D. 87, 071102 (2013), arXiv:1301.1105

7.8 10⁸ B mesons at Y_{4s} !

Search for $\ell_2 + (\ell_1 \pi)$, where ℓ_1 and π have **opposite charge and displaced vertex** for M(ν_h) =1GeV/c2 and $|U_e|^2 = |U_\mu|^2 = 10^{-4}$ the flight length is $c\tau \simeq 20$ m.

 \Box charge and flavour of $l_2 l_1$ can be **any combination of e**, μ , + **or** - because the heavy neutrino is assumed to be Majorana. (If Dirac fermion, -> opposite charges only).


CMS search for same sign muon pairs or electron pairs at the LHC

HOVER ALL AND ALL AND

CMS





CMS arXiv:1207.6079. arXiv:1501.05566

Begin to match/superseed the DELPHI limit.

limits at $|U|^2 \sim 10^{-2-5}$ level

24.4.19

ATLAS search for Heavy Neutrinos at LHC JHEP07(2015)162 arXiv:1506.0602



e⁻e⁻, e⁺e⁺, $\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$, $\mu^{+}\mu^{+}$ final states (like sign, like flavour leptons) Concentrates on m_N>100 GeV 'because <100 GeV excluded by LEP' Charge flip significant bkgd for ee channel



110

LHC prospects



 ${\sim}10^{9}~\text{vs}$ from W decays in ATLAS and CMS with 25 fb^-1 @8 TeV

Signals of RH neutrinos with mass $\leq m_w$ could be visible if mixing angle O(10^{-7,8})

The keys for that region of phase space

- -- require **displaced vertex**
- -- allow leptons of different charge and flavour
- -- constrain to W mass.



Heavy Neutrino searches at Future Circular Colliders



24.4.1 Alain Blondel, University of Geneva

The Future Circular Colliders CDR and cost review Q4 2018 for ESU

International collaboration to Study Colliders fitting in a new ~100 km infrastructure, fitting in the *Genevois*

≥16 T magnets

- Ultimate goal: ≥100 TeV pp-collider (FCC-hh)
- defining infrastructure requirements
- Two possible first steps:
- e⁺e⁻ collider (FCC-ee) High Lumi, E_{см} =90-400 GeV
- HE-LHC 16T ⇒ 27 TeV in LEP/LHC tunnel
- **Possible addition:**
- *p-e (FCC-he)* option 24.4.19



Alain Blondel The





27km tunnel



M. Aleksa

The next step: 100km tunnel



a 10-20 TeV muon collider using the 45 GeV stored e+ as LEMMA SOURCE?

FCC data taking starts at the end of HL-LHC



The Conceptual Design Report for the FCC was published 15 January 2019 Vol1 Physics Vol2 FCC-ee Vol3 FCC-hh and eh Vol4 HE-LHC <u>https://fcc-cdr.web.cern.ch/</u> where can also be found the contributions to the European Strategy

A public presentation of the main results was given on 4-5 March https://indico.cern.ch/event/789349/

what follows is based on slides presented at the meeting



Great energy range for the heavy particles of the Standard Model.

FCC integrated project technical timeline



70 years seems like a long time!

ESPP

ıh ee he

(indirect) Effect of right handed neutrinos on EW precision observables

HOLD REPAIR

110

The relationship $|U|^2 \propto \theta^2 \approx m_v / m_N$ is valid for one family see-saw. For two or three families the mixing can be larger (*Shaposhnikov*) *Antush and Fisher* have shown that a slight # in Majorana mass can generate larger mixing between the left- and right-handed neutrinos. *Worth exploring.*

 $v_L = v \cos\theta + N \sin\theta \rightarrow (\cos\theta)^2$ becomes parametrized as $1 + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha}$ is negative) the coupling to light 'normal' neutrinos is typically reduced. In the G_F, M_Z α_{QED} scheme, G_F (extracted from $\mu \rightarrow ev_e v_\mu$) and g should be *increased* This leads to *correlated* variations of all predictions upon e or mu neutrino mixing.

The 'number of neutrinos' and tau decays are specifically sensitive to the tau-neutrino mixing.

Prediction in MUV	Prediction in the SM	Experiment
$[R_{\ell}]_{\rm SM} \left(1 - 0.15(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu})\right)$	20.744(11)	20.767(25)
$[R_b]_{\rm SM} \left(1 + 0.03(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu})\right)$	0.21577(4)	0.21629(66)
$[R_c]_{\rm SM} \left(1 - 0.06(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu})\right)$	0.17226(6)	0.1721(30)
$\left[\sigma_{had}^{0}\right]_{\rm SM} \left(1 - 0.25(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}) - 0.27\varepsilon_{\tau}\right)$	41.470(15) nb	41.541(37) nb
$[R_{inv}]_{\rm SM} (1 + 0.75(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}) + 0.67\varepsilon_{\tau})$	5.9723(10)	5.942(16)
$[M_W]_{\rm SM}(1 - 0.11(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}))$	$80.359(11) {\rm GeV}$	$80.385(15) { m GeV}$
$[\Gamma_{\rm lept}]_{\rm SM}(1 - 0.59(\varepsilon_{ee} + \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}))$	$83.966(12) { m MeV}$	$83.984(86) { m MeV}$
$[(s_{W,\text{eff}}^{\ell,\text{lep}})^2]_{\text{SM}}(1+0.71(\varepsilon_{ee}+\varepsilon_{\mu\mu}))$	0.23150(1)	0.23113(21)
$[(s_{W,\text{eff}}^{\ell,\text{had}})^2]_{\text{SM}}(1+0.71(\varepsilon_{ee}+\varepsilon_{\mu\mu}))$	0.23150(1)	0.23222(27)

Table 1: Experimental results and SM predictions for the EWPO, and the modification in the MUV scheme, to first order in the parameters $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$. The theoretical predictions and experimental values are taken from Ref. [16]. The values of $(s_{W,\text{eff}}^{\ell,\text{lep}})^2$ and $(s_{W,\text{eff}}^{\ell,\text{had}})^2$ are taken from Ref. [17] **NB this is not decoupling**

DIRECT Heavy Neutrino production in Z decays

Production:

$$BR \ (\mathbf{Z}^{0} \to \nu_{m} \overline{\nu}) = BR \ (\mathbf{Z}^{0} \to \nu \overline{\nu}) \ |U|^{2} \ \left(1 - \frac{m_{\nu_{m}}^{2}}{m_{\mathbf{Z}^{0}}^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{\nu_{m}}^{2}}{m_{\mathbf{Z}^{0}}^{2}}\right)$$

multiply by 2 for anti neutrino and add contributions of 3 neutrino species (with different $|U|^2$)





Simulation of heavy neutrino decay in a FCC-ee detector





Alain Blondel Future Lepton Colliders



with 5 10^{12} Z

Alain Blondel Future Lepton Colliders

123

Constraints and Future Searches



FCC-hh

We have seen that the Z factory offers a clean method for detection of Heavy Right-Handed neutrinos Ws are less abundant at the lepton colliders

At the 100 TeV pp W is the dominant particle, Expect 10^{13} real W's.



There is a lot of /pile-up/backgrounds/lifetime/trigger issues which need to be investigated.

- BUT.... in the regime of long lived HNLs the simultaneous presence of
- -- the initial lepton from W decays
- -- the detached vertex with kinematically constrained decay

allows for a significant background reduction.

But it allows also a characterization both in flavour and charge of the produced neutrino, thus information of the flavour sensitive mixing angles and a test of the fermion violating nature of the intermediate (Majorana) particle.

VERY interesting...



Summary

Another example of Synergy and complementarity while ee covers a large part of space very cleanly , its either 'white' in lepton flavour or the result of EWPOs etc Observation at FCC -hh or eh would test flavour mixing matrix!

- Systematic assessment of heavy neutrino signatures at colliders.
- First looks at FCC-hh and FCC-eh sensitivities.
- Golden channels:
 - **FCC-hh:** LFV signatures and displaced vertex search
 - FCC-eh: LFV signatures and displaced vertex search
 - **FCC-ee:** Indirect search via EWPO and displaced vertex search



detailed study required for all FCCs – especially FCC-hh to understand





FCC-ee

-- EWPO : sensitivity 10⁻⁵ up to very high masses -- high sensitivity to single N($\rightarrow l_2^{\pm}$ W) in Z decay FCC-hh

-- production in W-> l_1^{\pm} + N(\rightarrow l_2^{\pm} W)

with initial and final lepton charge and flavour FCC e-p

-- production in CC $e^{\pm} p \rightarrow X N(\rightarrow l^{\pm}W)$ high mass <u>Complementarity</u>:

discovery + studies of FNV and LFV!

The capability to probe massive neutrino mechanisms for generating the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe should be a central consideration in the selection and design of future colliders. (from the neutrino town meeting report to the ESPP)

 10^{-11}

10

50

100

M [GeV]

500

1000

CONCLUSIONS

CONCLUSION ON NEUTRINOS

Neutrinos are the only place in particle physics where 'Beyond the Standard Model' has been observed, through the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations.

Neutrino oscillations: a quantum phenomenon which occurs because neutrinos have extremely small masses and mass splittings, which in itself is extremely surprising.

The leading possible explanation is the existence of right handed neutrinos with higher masses induced by the existence of a *Majorana mass term*.

This *may* provide an explanation for other unexplained experimental facts - dark matter

- the baryon asymmetry of the universe

This is an exciting field with many experimental possibilities using complementary

- -- neutrino beam experiments
- -- nuclear physics experiments
- -- collider experiments
- -- astrophysical and cosmological experiments

Enjoy Neutrino Physics!